

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FII | LING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|------------------------|------|------------|----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| 10/606,376 | 0 | 6/24/2003 | Hui-Kai Chou | JCLA11125 | 4735 | |
| 23900 | 7590 | 04/13/2005 | | EXAMINER | | |
| J C PATEN 4 VENTURI | • | | PAYNE, SHARON E | | | |
| IRVINE, CA | | .50 | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | | | | 2875 | - | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 04/13/2005 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{F}}$ | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 10/606,376 | CHOU ET AL. | | | | |
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Sharon E. Payne | 2875 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the | correspondence add | ross | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 01 April 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | | • | 1633 | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application in Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the | g a Notice of Appeal. To avoid aba an amendment, affidavit, or other peal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mudate of the final rejection. isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the | ndonment of this app evidence, which plac e with 37 CFR 41.31; est be filed within one the final rejection, whichever | es the or (3) a of the following | | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f | . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F). | IRST REPLY WAS FILE | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | | | | | | |
| 2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing an appeal brief. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS | | | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) | insideration and/or search (see NC ow); | OTE below); | | | | |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) ☒ They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally re | | the issues for | | | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.14 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | 121. See attached Notice of Non-C | ompliant Amendment | t (PTOL-324). | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). | | e, timely filed amendm | nent canceling | | | |
| 7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | vill be entered and an | explanation of | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperry and was not earlier presented. | eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ils to provide a (1). | | | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by Regarding claims 4-12, Goebel discloses a plurality of require a plurality of frames | frames for the reasons discussed i | n the office action. M | ance because: lodular frames | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other: | S Supervis | andra O'Shea sory Patent Examiner clogy Center 2800 | - | | | |

Application No.
Part of Paper No. 0405

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The language "and the corners of the two U-shaped lamp tubes are aligned and adjacent" in claim 13 requires further consideration or search..